

VLT Echelle Spectrophotometry of the Planetary Nebula NGC 5307 and Temperature Variations ¹

María Teresa Ruiz¹

Departamento de Astronomía, Universidad de Chile, Casilla Postal 36D, Santiago de Chile, Chile

`mtruiz@das.uchile.cl`

Antonio Peimbert

Instituto de Astronomía, UNAM, Apdo. Postal 70-264, México 04510 D.F., Mexico

`antonio@astroscu.unam.mx`

Manuel Peimbert

Instituto de Astronomía, UNAM, Apdo. Postal 70-264, México 04510 D.F., Mexico

`peimbert@astroscu.unam.mx`

and

César Esteban

Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, E-38200 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

`cel@ll.iac.es`

ABSTRACT

Echelle spectrophotometry of the planetary nebula NGC 5307 is presented. The data consists of VLT UVES observations in the 3100 to 10360 Å range. Electron temperatures and densities have been determined using different line intensity ratios. We determine the H, He, C, and O abundances based on recombination lines, these abundances are almost independent of the temperature structure of the nebula. We also determine the N, O, Ne, S, Cl, and Ar abundances based on collisionally excited lines, the ratios of these abundances relative to that of H depend strongly on the temperature structure of the nebula. From the O II/[O III] ratios we find a $t^2 = 0.056 \pm 0.005$. The chemical composition of NGC 5307 is compared with those of the Sun and the Orion nebula. From the

study of the relative intensities of the O II recombination lines of multiplet 1 in this and other nebulae it is found that for electron densities smaller than about 5000 cm^{-3} collisional redistribution is not complete, this effect has to be taken into account to derive the O abundances for those cases in which not all the lines of the multiplet are observed. From the $\lambda 4649$ O II versus $N_e(\text{Cl III})$ diagram we find a critical electron density of 1325 cm^{-3} for collisional redistribution of the O II lines of multiplet 1. Also based on this diagram we argue that the O II and the [O III] lines originate in the same regions. We also find that the radial velocities and the FWHM of the O II and [O III] lines in NGC 5307 are similar supporting the previous result. These two results imply that for NGC 5307 and probably for many other gaseous nebulae chemical inhomogeneities are not responsible for the large temperature fluctuations observed.

Subject headings: planetary nebulae: abundances—planetary nebulae: individual (NGC 5307)

1. Introduction

The main aim of this paper is to make a new determination of the chemical abundances of NGC 5307 including the following improvements over previous determinations: the consideration of the temperature structure that affects the helium and heavy elements abundance determinations, the derivation of the O and C abundances from recombination line intensities, the consideration of the collisional excitation of the triplet He I lines from the 2^3S level by determining the electron density from many line intensity ratios, and the study of the 2^3S level optical depth effects on the intensity of the triplet lines by observing a large number of singlet and triplet lines of He I. To determine the temperature structure it is also important to discuss if the O II and the [O III] lines originate in the same regions under the same physical conditions. To this effect we will study the relative line intensities of the O II multiplet 1 in this object and other gaseous nebulae, moreover for the same reason we will also consider the wavelengths and line widths of the O II and [O III] lines in NGC 5307.

In sections 2 and 3 the observations and the reduction procedure are described. In section 4 temperatures and densities are derived from five and four different intensity ratios respectively; also in this section, the mean square temperature fluctuation, t^2 , is determined

¹Based on observations collected at the European Southern Observatory, Chile, proposal number ESO 68.C-0149(A).

from the O II/[O III] line intensity ratios. In section 5 ionic abundances are determined based on recombination lines that are almost independent of the temperature structure, and ionic abundances based on ratios of collisionally excited lines to recombination lines that do depend on the temperature structure of the nebula. In section 6 the total abundances are determined and compared with other determinations for the same object. In sections 7 and 8 we present the discussion and the conclusions.

2. Observations

The observations were obtained with the Ultraviolet Visual Echelle Spectrograph, UVES (D’Odorico et al. 2000), at the VLT Kueyen Telescope in Chile. We observed simultaneously with the red and blue arms, each in two settings, covering the region from 3100 Å to 10360 Å (see Table 1). The wavelength regions 5783–5830 ÅÅ and 8540–8650 ÅÅ were not observed due to the separation between the two CCDs used in the red arm. There were also two small gaps that were not observed, 10084–10088 ÅÅ and 10252–10259 ÅÅ, because the two redmost orders did not entirely fit within the CCD. In addition to the long exposure spectra we took 60 second exposures for the four observed wavelength ranges to check for possible saturation effects.

The slit was oriented east-west and the atmospheric dispersion corrector (ADC) was used to keep the same observed region within the slit regardless of the air mass value. The slit width was set to 3.0” and the slit length was set to 10” in the blue arm and to 12” in the red arm; the slit width was chosen to maximize the S/N ratio of the emission lines and to maintain the required resolution to separate most of the weak lines needed for this project. The FWHM resolution for the NGC 5307 lines at a given wavelength is given by $\Delta\lambda \sim \lambda/8800$. The reductions were made for an area of 3” $\times$ 10”. The center of the slit was placed 5” south of the central star, a region of high emission measure. The dimensions of the object are 18.8” $\times$ 12.9” (e. g. Tyllenda et al. 2003). The average seeing during the observations amounted to 0.8”.

The spectra were reduced using the IRAF² echelle reduction package, following the standard procedure of bias subtraction, aperture extraction, flatfielding, wavelength calibration and flux calibration. For flux calibration the standard star EG 247 was observed; the observing time amounted to 600 seconds in each of the four observed wavelength ranges.

²IRAF is distributed by NOAO, which is operated by AURA, under cooperative agreement with NSF.

3. Line Intensities, Reddening Correction and Radial Velocities

Line intensities were measured integrating all the flux in the line between two given limits and over a local continuum estimated by eye. In the few cases of line-blending, the line flux of each individual line was derived from a multiple Voigt profile fit procedure. All these measurements were carried out with the `splot` task of the IRAF package.

The reddening coefficient, $C(\text{H}\beta) = 0.59 \pm 0.10$ dex, was determined by fitting the observed $I(\text{H}\beta)/I(\text{H Balmer lines})$ ratios to the theoretical ones computed by Storey & Hummer (1995) for $T_e = 10,000$ K and $N_e = 2000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, (see below) and assuming the extinction law of Seaton (1979).

Table 2 presents the emission line intensities of NGC 5307. The first and second columns include the observed wavelength in the heliocentric framework, λ , and the adopted laboratory wavelength, λ_0 . The third and fourth columns include the ion and the multiplet number, or the Balmer, B, or Paschen, P, transitions for each line. The main sources used for the line identifications and laboratory wavelengths were: Esteban et al. (1998); Hyung et al. (2000); Liu et al. (2001); Wiese et al. (1996); Péquignot & Baluteau (1988), and references therein. The fifth and sixth columns include the observed flux relative to $\text{H}\beta$, $F(\lambda)$, and the flux corrected for reddening relative to $\text{H}\beta$, $I(\lambda)$. To combine all the line intensities, from the four different instrumental settings, on the same scale, we multiplied the intensities in each setting by a correction factor obtained from the lines present in more than one setting; these corrections were all smaller than 2%. The seventh column includes the fractional error (1σ) in the line intensities; these errors were estimated from a signal-to-noise versus signal curve obtained from a fit to the dispersion in the observed to predicted line intensities for all the measured hydrogen lines.

A total of 184 distinct emission lines were measured; of them 137 are permitted, 46 are forbidden and 1 is semiforbidden (see Table 2). In this tally only the main component of the blended lines is included. Many lines redward of $7000 \text{ \AA}$ including several Paschen lines and $\lambda 9531$ [S III] were detected, but they were strongly affected by atmospheric features in absorption and emission rendering their intensities unreliable.

We derived the heliocentric radial velocity of NGC 5307 based on the 14 strongest H I and He I lines and amounts to $+29.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ km sec}^{-1}$. This value is smaller than that derived by Meatheringham, Wood, & Faulkner (1988) that amounts to $+40 \pm 4 \text{ km sec}^{-1}$, the difference probably is due to the different areas observed by the two groups.

The center of our observing slit was located at about half the distance between the central star and the outer edge of the main body of the nebula. Most of the emission lines show a single line profile because we are observing material moving from a tangential velocity

up to an angle of 60 degrees relative to the plane of the sky. The exceptions are the blue and red components of the $\lambda\lambda$ 6313 [S III], 6716 [S II], 6731 [S II], and 9069 [S III] lines that show a splitting of 35 ± 3 km sec $^{-1}$, because they mainly originate in the outer regions of the nebula. Dividing this value by the sine of 60 degrees we find a $2V_{exp}$ value of about 40 km sec $^{-1}$ of this material relative to the central star. This value is similar to the $2V_{exp}$ values of 30 km sec $^{-1}$ and 21.2 km sec $^{-1}$ found by Acker (1976) and Meatheringham, Wood, & Faulkner (1988) respectively.

4. Physical Conditions

4.1. Temperatures and densities

The temperatures and densities presented in Table 3 were derived from the line intensities presented in Table 2. Most of the determinations were carried out based on the IRAF subroutines.

The contribution to the intensities of the $\lambda\lambda$ 7319, 7320, 7331, and 7332 [O II] lines due to recombination was taken into account based on the following equation:

$$I_R(7319 + 7320 + 7331 + 7332)/I(\text{H}\beta) = 9.36(T/10^4)^{0.44} \times \text{O}^{++}/\text{H}^+, \quad (1)$$

(see Liu et al. 2000).

The contribution to the intensity of the λ 5755 [N II] line due to recombination was taken into account based on the following equation:

$$I_R(5755)/I(\text{H}\beta) = 3.19(T/10^4)^{0.30} \times \text{N}^{++}/\text{H}^+, \quad (2)$$

(see Liu et al. 2000).

The Balmer continuum temperature was determined from the following equation:

$$T = 368 \times (1 + 0.259y^+ + 3.409y^{++})(\text{Bac}/\text{H11})^{-3/2} \text{ K}, \quad (3)$$

(see Liu et al. 2001) where $\text{Bac}/\text{H11}$ is in $\text{\AA}^{-1}$, $y^+ = \text{He}^+/\text{H}^+$, and $y^{++} = \text{He}^{++}/\text{H}^+$.

4.2. Temperature variations

To derive the ionic abundance ratios the average temperature, T_0 , and the mean square temperature fluctuation, t^2 , were used. These quantities are given by

$$T_0(N_e, N_i) = \frac{\int T_e(\mathbf{r}) N_e(\mathbf{r}) N_i(\mathbf{r}) dV}{\int N_e(\mathbf{r}) N_i(\mathbf{r}) dV}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$t^2 = \frac{\int (T_e - T_0)^2 N_e N_i dV}{T_0^2 \int N_e N_i dV}, \quad (5)$$

respectively, where N_e and N_i are the electron and the ion densities of the observed emission line and V is the observed volume (Peimbert 1967).

To determine T_0 and t^2 we need two different methods to derive T_e : one that weighs preferentially the high temperature regions and one that weighs preferentially the low temperature regions. In this paper we have used the temperature derived from the ratio of the [O III] $\lambda\lambda$ 4363, 5007 lines, $T_{(4363/5007)}$, that is given by

$$T_{(4363/5007)} = T_0 \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{90800}{T_0} - 3 \right) t^2 \right], \quad (6)$$

and the temperature derived from the ratio of the recombination lines of multiplet 1 of O II to the collisionally excited lines of [O III] that is given by

$$T_{(\text{O II rec/O III coll})} = T_{(4649/5007)} = f_1(T_0, t^2). \quad (7)$$

From these equations we obtain that for $\text{O}^{++}(\text{R/C})$: $t^2 = 0.056 \pm 0.005$, and $T_0 = 10100$ K. Since about 90 % of the oxygen is twice ionized (see below) we will use this t^2 value as representative for the whole object. From $T(\text{Bac})$, $T(\text{O II})$, $T(\text{O III})$, and equations 6-9 by Peimbert et al. (2000) we obtain $t^2 = 0.03 \pm 0.05$ this value is not accurate enough to be useful in the abundance determinations.

5. Ionic Chemical Abundances

5.1. Helium ionic abundances

To obtain He^+/H^+ values we need a set of effective recombination coefficients for the He and H lines, the contribution due to collisional excitation to the helium line intensities, and an estimate of the optical depth effects for the helium lines. The recombination coefficients used were those by Storey & Hummer (1995) for H, and those by Smits (1996) and Benjamin, Skillman, & Smits (1999) for He. The collisional contribution was estimated from Sawey & Berrington (1993) and Kingdon & Ferland (1995). The optical depth effects in the triplet lines were estimated from the computations by Benjamin, Skillman, & Smits (2002).

Table 4 presents the He^+/H^+ values obtained from the eight best observed helium lines for $N_e = 2500 \pm 500$. From a maximum likelihood method we obtained $\text{He}^+/\text{H}^+ = 0.09055 \pm 0.00151$, $\tau_{3889} = 0.93 \pm 0.57$, and $t^2 = 0.031 \pm 0.014$

From the $I(4686)/I(H\beta)$ value presented in Table 2, $T_e = 13500$ K, and the recombination coefficients by Brocklehurst (1971) we obtain $N(\text{He}^{++})/N(\text{H}^+) = 0.00726 \pm 0.00018$. The helium ionic abundances are presented in Table 5.

5.2. C and O ionic abundances from recombination lines

The C^{++} abundance was derived from the $\lambda 4267$ Å line of C II and the effective recombination coefficients computed by Davey, Storey, & Kisielius (2000) for Case A and $T = 10000$ K.

The O^{++} abundance was derived from the lines of multiplet 1 of O II (see Figure 1) together with the effective recombination coefficient for the multiplet computed by Storey (1994) under the assumption of Case B for $T_e = 10000$ K and $N_e = 2000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$.

Six of the eight lines of multiplet 1 were observed and are presented in Table 2, the $\lambda\lambda$ 4674 and 4696 Å lines were too weak to be observed, we computed their intensities as follows: a $I(4674)=0.019$ value was determined from $I(4651)$ and the ratio of their Einstein A coefficients, since both lines originate from the same upper level; similarly a $I(4696)=0.008$ value was determined from $I(4639) + I(4661)$ and the ratio of their A coefficients, since the 3 lines originate from the same upper level. The A values were taken from Wiese et al. (1996). Additionally the $\lambda 4641.81$ Å line of O II is blended with the $\lambda 4641.85$ Å line of N III, based on the observed intensities and the A values of other lines of the same multiplets originating in the same upper energy levels we estimated that the intensities of these lines, in the units of Table 2, amount to 0.148 and 0.053 respectively.

The O^{++} abundance is almost independent of the case assumed, the difference in the O^{++}/H^+ value between Case A and Case B is smaller than 4%. Peimbert (2003) found that in 30 Doradus the O II lines of multiplet 1 are in Case B based on the observed intensities of multiplets 19, 2, and 28 of O II that are strongly case sensitive; Peimbert, Storey, & Torres-Peimbert (1993) also found that the O II lines in the Orion nebula are in Case B. The line intensity ratios within multiplet 1 do not follow the predictions for collisional redistribution, this result will be discussed in section 7. Figure 1 provides an excellent visual reference to estimate the quality of the data, it includes 2 lines four orders of magnitude fainter than $H\beta$ and also shows that lines separated by 2Å are completely resolved.

The C^{+3} abundance was derived from: a) $\lambda 4647$ Å, one of the three lines of multiplet 1 of C III, b) the effective recombination coefficient used by Liu et al. (2000) for the whole multiplet, and c) the assumption that $I(4647 + 4650 + 4651)/I(4647) = 1.8$, the LTE ratio for multiplet 1 (Wiese et al. 1996).

Most of the intensity of each of the O III and N III lines present in Table 2 is due to the well known Bowen resonance fluorescence mechanism (Bowen 1934) making these lines and are not suitable for accurate abundance determinations.

5.3. Ionic abundances from collisionally excited lines

The values presented in Table 6 for $t^2 = 0.00$ were derived with the IRAF task `abund`. For N II, O II, and S II we used $T_e = 11000$ K and $N_e = 3500$ cm⁻³. For O III, Ne III, S III, Cl III, Cl IV, Ar III, and Ar IV we used $T_e = 11800$ K and $N_e = 2500$ cm⁻³. For Ar V and K IV we used $T_e = 13500$ K and $N_e = 2500$ cm⁻³.

To derive the abundances for $t^2 = 0.056$ we used the abundances for $t^2 = 0.00$ and the following equations for $t^2 > 0.00$ presented by Peimbert (1967) and Peimbert & Costero (1969):

$$\left[\frac{N(\text{CEL})}{N(\text{RL})} \right]_{true} = \frac{T_{\text{RL}}^\alpha T_{\text{CEL}}^{0.5}}{T_{(4363/5007)}^{\alpha+0.5}} \times \exp \left(-\frac{\Delta E_{\text{CEL}}}{kT_{(4363/5007)}} + \frac{\Delta E_{\text{CEL}}}{kT_{\text{CEL}}} \right) \times \left[\frac{N(\text{CEL})}{N(\text{RL})} \right]_{(4363/5007)}, \quad (8)$$

$$T_{\text{CEL}} = T_0 \left\{ 1 + \left[\frac{(\Delta E_{\text{CEL}}/kT_0)^2 - 3\Delta E_{\text{CEL}}/kT_0 + 3/4}{\Delta E_{\text{CEL}}/kT_0 - 1/2} \right] \frac{t^2}{2} \right\}, \quad (9)$$

$$T_{\text{RL}} = T_0 \left[1 + (1 - \alpha) \frac{t^2}{2} \right], \quad (10)$$

$$T_{(4363/5007)} = T_0 \left[1 + \left(\frac{90800}{T_0} - 3 \right) \frac{t^2}{2} \right], \quad (11)$$

where α is the dependence on the temperature for a given recombination line, ΔE_{CEL} is the energy difference between the ground and the excited level, and $[N(\text{CEL})/N(\text{RL})]_{(4363/5007)}$ is the abundance ratio based on the temperature obtained from $I(4363)/I(5007)$, note that the temperature exponents presented by Peimbert & Costero (1969) for equation (8) are misprinted.

To derive abundances for other t^2 values it is possible to interpolate or to extrapolate from the values presented in Table 6.

6. Total Abundances

Table 7 presents the total gaseous abundances of NGC 5307 for $t^2 = 0$ and $t^2 = 0.056$. To derive the total gaseous abundances the set of equations presented below was used, where

the ionization correction factors, ICF 's, correct for the unseen ionization stages.

The total He/H value is given by:

$$\frac{N(\text{He})}{N(\text{H})} = \frac{N(\text{He}^+) + N(\text{He}^{++})}{N(\text{H}^+)}. \quad (12)$$

The C abundance is given by:

$$\frac{N(\text{C})}{N(\text{H})} = ICF(\text{C}) \frac{N(\text{C}^{++}) + N(\text{C}^{+3})}{N(\text{H}^+)}. \quad (13)$$

The $ICF(\text{C})$ was estimated from models computed with CLOUDY (Ferland 1996; Ferland et al. 1998) with similar O and He ionization structure and amounts to 1.05, a value very close to 1. Half of the correction is due to the expected C^+ contribution and half to the C^{+4} contribution.

The following equation has been used often to obtain the N/H abundance ratio (Peimbert & Costero 1969):

$$\frac{N(\text{N})}{N(\text{H})} = ICF(\text{N}) \frac{N(\text{N}^+)}{N(\text{H}^+)} = \frac{N(\text{O})}{N(\text{O}^+)} \frac{N(\text{N}^+)}{N(\text{H}^+)}. \quad (14)$$

From our observations of NGC 5307, $ICF(\text{N})$ is in the 30 to 40 range, a very large and consequently uncertain correction. Relaño et al. (2002); Luridiana et al. (2002) have obtained $ICF(\text{N}^+)$ values bigger than those given by equation (14). Therefore we decided to use a different equation to obtain N/H given by:

$$\frac{N(\text{N})}{N(\text{H})} = \left(\frac{N(\text{O})}{N(\text{H}^+)} \right)_V \left(\frac{N(\text{N}^{++}) + N(\text{N}^{+3})}{N(\text{O}^{++}) + N(\text{O}^{+3})} \right)_{UV}; \quad (15)$$

where the first term on the right hand side was obtained from Table 7 and the N and O ionic abundances were obtained from: a) the line intensities of $\lambda\lambda$ 1401 O IV, 1486 N IV], 1660 + 1666 O III], and 1750 N III] presented by Kingsburgh & Barlow (1994), b) the atomic parameters presented in the compilation by Mendoza (1983) for $\lambda\lambda$ 1660 + 1666 O III], and the atomic parameters in IRAF for the other lines, and c) a T_e of 12500 K. The values derived from equation (15) are those presented in Table 7.

We prefer equation (15) to equation (14) because about 97 - 99% of the N and O atoms are expected to be doubly or triply ionized, while only about 1 - 3 % of the N and O atoms are expected to be singly ionized.

It is also possible to determine N/H from:

$$\frac{N(\text{N})}{N(\text{H})} = \frac{[N(\text{N}^{++}) + N(\text{N}^{+3})]_{UV}}{[N(\text{H}^+)]_V}; \quad (16)$$

we prefer equation (15) to equation (16) because there is a mismatch between the abundances derived from UV/V line intensity ratios and those derived from the UV/UV or V/V ratios. For example, from the UV data by Kingsburgh & Barlow (1994) and their $I(H\beta)$ value we obtain $N(O)_{UV}/N(H)_V = 12.65 \times 10^{-4}$ for $t^2 = 0.00$, while from their visual data we obtain $N(O)_V/N(H)_V = 4.3 \times 10^{-4}$, also for $t^2 = 0.00$, a difference of a factor of three. This difference could be due to errors in the $C(H\beta)$ value, errors in the adopted temperature, and differences in the slit size and position between the UV and V observations. Alternatively we consider the $[N(N)/N(O)]_{UV}$ value to be more reliable than the $N(N)_{UV}/N(H)_V$ value given by equation (16), because for the UV line ratios the dependence on $C(H\beta)$ and T_e is smaller and the slit size and position are the same. Similarly we consider $[N(O)/N(H)]_V$ to be more reliable than $N(O)_{UV}/N(H)_V$ because the visual line ratios depend less on $C(H\beta)$ and T_e than the UV to V line ratios, and the slit size and position are the same for the visual line intensities.

To derive the same N/H value from equations (14) and (15) we need an $ICF(N)$ twice as large as that provided by equation (14). A similar increase in the $ICF(N)$ value was obtained for NGC 346, the brightest H II region in the SMC, by Relaño et al. (2002) based on CLOUDY ionization structure models.

The O abundance was obtained from (Kingsburgh & Barlow 1994):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N(O)}{N(H)} &= ICF(O) \left(\frac{N(O^+) + N(O^{++})}{N(H^+)} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{N(He^+) + N(He^{++})}{N(He^+)} \right)^{2/3} \left(\frac{N(O^+) + N(O^{++})}{N(H^+)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $ICF(O)$ takes into account the fraction of O^{+3} .

The Ne abundance was obtained from (Peimbert & Costero 1969):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N(Ne)}{N(H)} &= ICF(Ne) \left(\frac{N(Ne^{++})}{N(H^+)} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{N(O)}{N(O^{++})} \right) \left(\frac{N(Ne^{++})}{N(H^+)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The S abundance was obtained from:

$$\frac{N(S)}{N(H)} = ICF(S) \frac{N(S^+) + N(S^{++})}{N(H^+)}, \quad (19)$$

where $ICF(S)$ was estimated from the models by Garnett (1989) and amounts to 6.3 ± 1.5 .

The Cl abundance is given by:

$$\frac{N(\text{Cl})}{N(\text{H})} = ICF(\text{Cl}) \frac{N(\text{Cl}^{++}) + N(\text{Cl}^{+3})}{N(\text{H}^+)}, \quad (20)$$

where $ICF(\text{Cl})$ was estimated from models computed with CLOUDY (Ferland 1996; Ferland et al. 1998) with similar O and He ionization structure and amounts to 1.05, a value very close to 1. the correction is due Cl^{+4} , the amount of Cl^+ for this object is negligible.

Finally the Ar abundance is given by:

$$\frac{N(\text{Ar})}{N(\text{H})} = ICF(\text{Ar}) \frac{N(\text{Ar}^{++}) + N(\text{Ar}^{+3}) + N(\text{Ar}^{+4})}{N(\text{H}^+)}, \quad (21)$$

where $ICF(\text{Ar}) = 1/[1 - \text{O}^+/\text{O}] = 1.02$ (Liu et al. 2000), takes into account the Ar^+ fraction.

7. Discussion

7.1. The λ 4649 O II versus electron density diagram

The relative intensity ratios of the O II recombination lines of multiplet 1 do not agree with the LTE computation predictions, this deviation was noted before for other objects by Esteban et al. (1999a); Esteban et al. (1999b); Peimbert (2003); and Tsamis et al. (2003). In particular Tsamis et al. (2003) discuss this problem extensively.

To explain this deviation, we propose that the electron density is smaller than that needed to produce an LTE collisional redistribution of the fine structure energy levels. To test our proposal we produced Figure 2 that is based on the best O II observations in the literature, those presented in Table 8. We decided to plot $I(4649)$ over the sum of the intensities of all the lines of the multiplet $I(\text{sum})$, versus the density derived from optical forbidden line ratios, mainly the [Cl III] lines that originate in the same regions where O is twice ionized.

From Figure 2 we find an excellent correlation between $I(4649)/I(\text{sum})$ and the forbidden line electron density, $N_e(\text{FL})$. We propose to adjust the data with a curve given by

$$\left[\frac{I(4649)}{I(\text{sum})} \right]_{obs} = \left[\frac{I(4649)}{I(\text{sum})} \right]_{cas} + \frac{\left[\frac{I(4649)}{I(\text{sum})} \right]_{LTE} - \left[\frac{I(4649)}{I(\text{sum})} \right]_{cas}}{\left[1 + \frac{N_e(\text{crit})}{N_e(\text{FL})} \right]}, \quad (22)$$

where $[I(4649)/I(\text{sum})]_{cas}$ is the value produced by recombination and cascade without collisional redistribution, $[I(4649)/I(\text{sum})]_{LTE}$ amounts to 0.397 Wiese et al. (1996) the value

predicted by collisional redistribution assuming that the populations of the upper energy levels of multiplet 1 are given by the statistical weights multiplied by the Einstein A values, and $N_e(\text{crit})$ is the critical density defined by equation (22). From Figure 2 we find $N_e(\text{crit}) = 1325 \pm 275 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, and $I(4649)/I(\text{sum})]_{cas} = 0.105 \pm 0.015$, therefore equation (22) can be written as:

$$\left[\frac{I(4649)}{I(\text{sum})} \right]_{obs} = 0.105 + \frac{0.292}{[1 + 1325/N_e(\text{FL})]}. \quad (23)$$

It is beyond the scope of this paper to check this equation based on an atomic physics computation.

Equation 22 has the following applications: a) when $N_e(\text{FL})$ is known it is possible to use this equation to obtain $I(\text{sum})$ from the observed $I(4649)$ and from $I(\text{sum})$ to obtain the O^{++} abundance since $I(\text{sum})$ is independent the density, from $I(4649)$ alone it is not possible to determine an accurate O^{++} abundance since this line is density dependent; b) from an observed $I(4649)/I(\text{Sum})$ it is possible to determine N_e in the O^{++} zone; c) if the forbidden line density of a nebula does not fall close to the value predicted with this equation it could mean that there are extreme inhomogeneities in density or chemical composition in this object, for example an object with high-density oxygen-rich knots embedded in a low density medium would fall to the left of the curve.

From Table 8 it can be seen that the intensity of the lines that originate in the $3p \text{ } ^4\text{D}_{3/2}^0$ and $3p \text{ } ^4\text{D}_{1/2}^0$ energy levels decreases with increasing local density, while the intensity of those lines that originate in the $3p \text{ } ^4\text{D}_{7/2}^0$ increases with increasing density. This is due to the effect of collisional redistribution that increases the population of the high statistical weight levels at the expense of the low statistical weight ones. Note that the intensity of the lines that originate from the $3p \text{ } ^4\text{D}_{5/2}^0$ energy level are almost independent of the electron density.

The results derived from Figure 2 imply that in these objects the $[\text{O III}] \lambda\lambda 4363, 4959, \text{ and } 5007$ lines and the O II recombination lines of multiplet 1 originate in regions of similar density, this is particularly the case for those objects with $N_e(\text{FL}) < 3000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. In other words: we can not assume the presence of high density knots to explain the difference between the O^{++} abundances derived from the $[\text{O III}]$ lines and the O II lines. Consequently we propose to drop the constant temperature assumption, and to explain the apparent difference in abundances as being due to the presence of temperature fluctuations.

In general to reconcile the abundances derived from collisionally excited lines and recombination lines it is necessary to assume the presence of large temperature variations. The presence of temperature variations in gaseous nebulae has been amply reviewed in the recent literature (e.g. Peimbert 2002; Esteban 2002; Liu 2002; Torres-Peimbert & Peimbert 2003). Even more recent results in favor of large temperature variations in the Orion nebula, the 30

Doradus nebula, and other planetary nebulae have been presented by Rubin et al. (2003); O’Dell et al. (2003); Peimbert (2003); Peimbert et al. (2003).

Peimbert, Storey, & Torres-Peimbert (1993), by comparing the O II line intensities of multiplets 1, 2, and 10 for the planetary nebula NGC 6572, have concluded that the O II lines are produced by recombination, and that the contribution of resonance fluorescence to the O II line intensities is not important. A similar conclusion was reached Grandi (1976) for the Orion nebula.

7.2. The heliocentric velocities and the FWHM of the O II and [O III] lines

The difference between the O(CEL) abundance and the O(RL) abundance indicates the presence of large temperature variations. There are two possible scenarios for these temperature variations: a) that the abundances are homogeneous along the line of sight and temperature fluctuations of $\pm 23\%$ are present, an explanation for these large temperature variations has to be sought (e.g. Torres-Peimbert & Peimbert 2003, and references therein), or b) that the abundances are not homogeneous along the line of sight and that there are high density knots with excess heavy element abundances embedded in a medium with lower heavy element abundances and higher electron temperature (e.g. Jacoby & Ford 1983; Torres-Peimbert, Peimbert & Peña 1990; Guerrero & Manchado 1996; Péquignot et al. 2002; Wesson et al. 2003).

The second possibility is based on the idea that the central stars, of at least some planetary nebulae, firstly eject an envelope at relatively low velocity with almost normal heavy element abundances, and later on eject knots or clumps of material at higher velocities with an excess of heavy element abundances. In Abell 58 Guerrero & Manchado (1996) studied a hydrogen deficient high velocity knot blueshifted relative to the main body of the nebula by 120 km s^{-1} . while Wesson et al. (2003) studied three knots in Abell 30, where knot J1 is redshifted relative to knot J3 by about 45 km s^{-1} and knot J3 is redshifted relative to knot J4 by about 100 km s^{-1} .

By assuming that chemical inhomogeneities are responsible for the temperature variations in NGC 5307 we would expect most of the flux of the [O III] lines to originate in the lower density medium that expands at lower velocity, and a considerable fraction of the flux of the O II lines to originate from knots or clumps that move at higher velocities. Therefore if this were the case the radial velocity derived from the central wavelength of the [O III] lines could be different from that derived from the O II lines; furthermore the full width at half maximum, FWHM, of the [O III] lines would be smaller than that of the O II lines.

For NGC 5307 the heliocentric velocity of the $\lambda\lambda$ 4363, 4959, 5007 [O III] lines is $30.1 \pm 0.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, while that of the O II lines of multiplet 1 is $33.1 \pm 4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The FWHM of the $\lambda\lambda$ 4363, 4959, 5007 [O III] lines is $48.4 \pm 0.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, while that of the λ 4649 O II line, the best observed line of multiplet 1, is $44.3 \pm 7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. These results imply that there is no evidence in favor of high velocity O-rich clumps in our observations of NGC 5307.

7.3. Comparison with other abundance determinations

Table 7 presents a comparison between the abundance determinations of this paper and those by other authors. A discussion of the uncertainties in the determinations by other authors is beyond the scope of this paper, the errors of this work are presented in Tables 6 and 9. The average $N(\text{He})/N(\text{H})$ value derived by the other four groups amounts to -1.02 dex in excellent agreement with our value of -1.01 dex. The C abundance derived by us is based on the λ 4267 C II recombination line, while those derived by other authors are based on UV lines, we consider our determination to be more accurate because it is almost independent of the adopted temperature while the abundance derived from the UV collisionally excited lines depends strongly on the adopted electron temperature (although the C II recombination abundances are still regarded as controversial by other authors). The N/H value has been already amply discussed and most of the difference among the various groups depends on the use of V or UV lines to determine the N abundance. Most of the difference among the O and Ne abundances is due to the adopted t^2 value.

To discuss the effect of stellar evolution on the observed abundances in NGC 5307 we present in Table 9 the Orion nebula and solar abundances as representative of the present day interstellar medium and of the interstellar medium at the time the Sun was formed. Table 9 presents the solar photospheric values for C, N, O, Ne, and Ar, and the solar abundances derived from meteoritic data for S, Cl, and Fe. For the solar initial helium abundance the Y_0 by Christensen-Dalsgaard (1998) was adopted, and not the photospheric one because, apparently, it has been affected by settling. Notice that the solar oxygen abundance used in this paper is the average value of the recent results by Allende-Prieto, Lambert, & Asplund (2001) and Holweger (2001) that indicate an O/H value of 8.71 dex, a value lower than that derived by Grevesse & Sauval (1998). We are also using the C/H value by Allende-Prieto, Lambert, & Asplund (2002), the N/H and Ne/H solar abundances were taken from Holweger (2001), while the S/H, Cl/H, and Ar/H come from Grevesse & Sauval (1998), the first two from the meteoritic data, while the last one from the photospheric data.

The relative abundances of H, He, C, N, and O imply that NGC 5307 is a PN of Type II with a main sequence progenitor star in the 1.2 to 2.4 solar mass range (Peimbert 1978,

1990; Kingsburgh & Barlow 1994).

In Table 9 we present the abundances of NGC 5307, the Orion nebula (representative of the interstellar medium today), and the Sun (expected to be similar to the interstellar abundances when the parental star of NGC 5307 was formed). By comparing these abundances we reach the following conclusions: a) the He abundance has not been significantly enriched by stellar evolution, b) the C abundance has decreased by about a factor of three, and c) the N abundance has increased by about a factor of three. These three results taken together indicate that the nebular material has only gone through part of the CNO cycle, converting C into N, but without producing significant amounts of helium. Evolutionary models of intermediate mass stars predict the N increase and the C decrease in their outer layers while the O and He abundances are almost unaffected (e. g. Torres-Peimbert & Peimbert 1971); N increases at the expense of C because the C/N ratio in the interstellar medium and in the Sun is considerably larger than the equilibrium value produced by the CNO cycle (e. g. Pagel 1997).

Objects that show O-rich knots, like A30 and A58, show He/H excesses that are not present in NGC 5307 (Guerrero & Manchado 1996; Wesson et al. 2003). Consequently the chemical composition of NGC 5307 is also against the presence of O-rich knots in this object

8. Conclusions

NGC 5307 is a typical Type II PN with $t^2 = 0.056 \pm 0.005$. Its expansion velocity is low, about 15 km s^{-1} . Its chemical composition indicates that nuclear processing in the parental star of the ejected shell has not gone beyond the partial conversion of C into N. In particular it has a very similar He/H ratio to that present in the interstellar medium at the time its progenitor star formed

Its chemical composition, together with the FWHM and the radial velocities of the O II lines and the [O III] lines, indicate that NGC 5307 does not have high density O-rich knots embedded in a low density O-normal medium. Consequently the difference between the O II and [O III] line intensities has to be due to a different cause than chemical inhomogeneities.

The comparison of the FWHM of the O II and [O III] lines provides an excellent method to detect the presence O-rich knots, with a high electron density and a high velocity relative to the parental star, embedded in a low density O-normal medium.

From the $I(4649)/I(\text{sum})$ versus $N_e(\text{Cl III})$ diagram we have found an equation that provides a good fit to most of the well observed gaseous nebulae. This fit to the data indicates

that most, if not all, of the O II recombination lines intensity originates in the same regions than the [O III] collisional lines intensity, and that high density O-rich knots are not the main cause responsible, in these objects, for the presence of temperature variations.

We acknowledge the referee for a careful reading of the manuscript. MTR received partial support from FONDAP(15010003), a Guggenheim Fellowship and Fondecyt(1010404). MP received partial support from DGAPA UNAM (grant IN 114601).

REFERENCES

- Acker, A. 1976, Pub. Obs. Strasbourg 5, fasc. 1
- Allende-Prieto, C., Lambert, D. L., & Asplund, M. 2001, ApJ, 556, L63
- Allende-Prieto, C., Lambert, D. L., & Asplund, M. 2002, ApJ, 573, L137
- Benjamin, R. A., Skillman, E. D., & Smits, D. P. 1999, ApJ, 514, 307
- Benjamin, R. A., Skillman, E. D., & Smits, D. P. 2002, ApJ, 569, 288
- Bowen, I. S. 1934, PASP, 46, 145
- Brocklehurst, M. 1971, MNRAS, 153, 471
- Christensen-Dalsgaard, J. 1998, Space Sci. Rev., 85, 19
- Davey, A. R., Storey, P. J., & Kisielius, R. 2000, A&AS, 142, 85
- de Freitas Pacheco, J. A., Maciel, W. J., & Costa, R. D. D. 1992, A&A, 261, 579
- D’Odorico, S., Cristiani, S., Dekker, H., Hill, V., Kaufer, A., Kim, T., & Primas, F. 2000, Proc. SPIE, 4005, 121
- Esteban, C. 2002, Rev. Mexicana Astron. Astrofís. Ser. Conf., 12, 56
- Esteban, C., Peimbert, M., Torres-Peimbert, S., & Escalante, V. 1998, MNRAS, 295, 401
- Esteban, C., Peimbert, M., Torres-Peimbert, S., & García-Rojas, J. 1999a, Rev. Mexicana Astron. Astrofís., 35, 85
- Esteban, C., Peimbert, M., Torres-Peimbert, S., García-Rojas, J., & Rodríguez, M. 1999b, ApJS, 120, 113
- Esteban, C., Peimbert, M., Torres-Peimbert, S., & Rodríguez, M. 2002, ApJ, 581, 241

- Ferland, G. J. 1996, *Hazy, a Brief Introduction to CLOUDY 90* (Univ. Kentucky Dept. Phys. Astron. Internal Rep.)
- Ferland, G. J., Korista, K. T., Verner, D. A., Ferguson, J. W., Kingdon, J. B., & Verner, E. M. 1998, *PASP*, 110, 761
- Garnett, D. R. 1989, *ApJ*, 345, 282
- Grandi, S. A. 1976, *ApJ*, 206, 658
- Grevesse, N., & Sauval, A. J. 1998, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 85, 161
- Guerrero, M. A., & Manchado, A. 1996, *ApJ*, 472, 711
- Holweger, H. 2001, in *Solar and Galactic Composition*, ed. R. F. Wimmer-Scheingruber, AIP Conference Series (New York: Springer), 598, 23
- Hyung, S., & Aller, L. H. 1995, *MNRAS*, 273, 973
- Hyung, S., Aller, L. H., & Feibelman, W. A. 1994a, *MNRAS*, 269, 975
- Hyung, S., Aller, L. H., & Feibelman, W. A. 1994b, *ApJS*, 93, 465
- Hyung, S., Aller, L. H., Feibelman, W. A., Lee, W. B., & de Koter, A. 2000, *MNRAS*, 318, 77
- Jacoby, G. H., & Ford, H. C. 1983, *Apj*, 266, 298
- Kingdon, J., & Ferland, G. 1995, *ApJ*, 442, 714
- Kingsburgh, R. L., & Barlow, M. J. 1994, *MNRAS*, 271, 257
- Liu, X.-W. 2002, *Rev. Mexicana Astron. Astrofís. Ser. Conf.*, 12, 70
- Liu, X.-W., Luo, S.-G., Barlow, M. J., Danziger, I. J., & Storey, P. J. 2001, *MNRAS*, 327, 141
- Liu, X.-W., Storey, P. J., Barlow, M. J., Danziger, I. J., Cohen, M., & Bryce, M. 2000, *MNRAS*, 312, 585
- Luridiana, V., Esteban, C., Peimbert, M. & Peimbert, A. 2002, *Rev. Mexicana Astron. Astrofís.*, 38, 97
- Mal'kov, Yu. F. 1998, *Astronomy Reports*, 42, 293

- Meatheringham, S. J., Wood, P. R., & Faulkner, D. J. 1988, *ApJ*, 334, 862
- Mendoza, C. 1983, in *IAU Symposium 103, Planetary Nebulae*, ed. D.R. Flower (Dordrecht: Reidel), p. 143
- O’Dell, C. R., Peimbert, M., & Peimbert, A. 2003, *AJ*, in press
- Pagel, B. E. J. 1997, *Nucleosynthesis and Chemical Evolution of Galaxies*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, p. 149
- Peimbert, A. 2003, *ApJ*, 584, 735
- Peimbert, M. 1967, *ApJ*, 150, 825
- Peimbert, M., 1978 in *IUA Symposium 76, Planetary Nebulae*, ed. Y. Terzian (Dordrecht:Reidel), p. 215
- Peimbert, M., 1990 *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 53, 1559
- Peimbert, M. 1993, *Rev. Mexicana Astron. Astrofís.*, 27, 9
- Peimbert, M. 2002, *Rev. Mexicana Astron. Astrofís. Ser. Conf.*, 12, 275
- Peimbert, M., & Costero, R. 1969, *Bol. Obs. Tonantzintla y Tacubaya*, 5, 3
- Peimbert, M., Peimbert, A., & Ruiz, M. T. 2000, *ApJ*, 541, 688
- Peimbert, M., Peimbert, A., Ruiz, M. T., & Esteban, C. 2003, in preparation
- Peimbert, M., Storey, P. J. & Torres-Peimbert, S. 1993, *ApJ*, 414, 626
- Pequignot, D., Amara, M., Liu, X.-W., Barlow, M. J., Storey, P. J., Morisset, C., Torres-Peimbert, S. & Peimbert, M. 2002 *Rev. Mexicana Astron. Astrofís. Ser. Conf.*, 12, 142
- Péquignot, D., & Beluteau, J. P. 1988, *A&A*, 206, 298
- Relaño, M., Peimbert, M., & Beckman, J. 2002, *ApJ*, 564, 704
- Rubin, R. H., Martin, P. G., Dufour, R. J., Ferland, G. J., Blagrove, K. P. M., Liu, X.-W., Nguyen, J. F., & Baldwin, J. A. 2003, *MNRAS*, in press, (astro-ph/0212244)
- Sawey, P. M. J., & Berrington, K. A., 1993, *Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables*, 55, 81
- Seaton, M. J. 1979, *MNRAS*, 187, 73p

- Smits, D. P. 1996, MNRAS, 278, 683
- Storey, P. J. 1994, A&A, 282, 999
- Storey, P. J., & Hummer, D. G. 1995, MNRAS, 272, 41
- Torres-Peimbert, S., & Peimbert, M. 1971, Bol. Obs. Tonantzintla y Tacubaya, 6, 37
- Torres-Peimbert, S., & Peimbert, M. 1977, Rev. Mexicana Astron. Astrofís., 2, 181.
- Torres-Peimbert, S., & Peimbert, M. 2003, in Planetary Nebulae and Their Role in the Universe, IAU Symposium 209, in press, (astro-ph/0204087)
- Torres-Peimbert, S., Peimbert, M. & Peña, M. 1990, A&A, 233, 540
- Tsamis, Y. G., Barlow, M. J., Liu, X.-W., Danziger, I. J., & Storey, P. J. 2003, MNRAS, 338, 687
- Tylenda, R., Siodmak, N., Gorny, S. K., & Schwarz H. E. 2003, A&A, in press, (astro-ph/0304433)
- Wesson, R., Liu, X.-W., & Barlow, M. J. 2003, MNRAS, in press, (astro-ph/0301119)
- Wiese, W. L., Fuhr, J. R., & Deters, T. M. 1996, in Atomic Transition Probabilities of Carbon, Nitrogen, and Oxygen: A Critical Data Compilation, Journal of Physical and Chemical Data, Monograph No. 7

Table 1. Journal of Observations

Date	λ (Å)	Exp. Time (s)
2002 March 12	3100–3880	3×90
2002 March 12	3730–4990	3×180
2002 March 12	4760–6840	3×90
2002 March 12	6600–10360	3×180

Table 2. Line Intensities

λ	λ_0	Ion	Id.	F^a	I^b	err(%)
3133.128	3132.79	O III	12	7.12	13.1	5
3188.075	3187.74	He I	7	2.05	3.68	8
3203.469	3203.10	He II	3.5	1.69	3.00	10
3299.807	3299.36	O III	3	0.482	0.814	15
3312.673	3312.30	O III	3	1.03	1.73	10
3341.103	3340.74	O III	3	1.71	2.83	8
3428.957	3428.65	O III	15	0.352	0.559	15
3444.406	3444.07	O III	15	2.78	4.38	6
3634.702	3634.25	He I	28	0.211	0.308	20
3663.821	3663.40	H I	H29	0.153	0.222	20
3664.943	3664.68	H I	H28	0.234	0.339	15
3666.343	3666.10	H I	H27	0.318	0.460	15
3668.015	3667.68	H I	H26	0.332	0.480	15
3669.823	3669.46	H I	H25	0.382	0.552	12
3671.785	3671.48	H I	H24	0.382	0.551	12
3674.123	3673.76	H I	H23	0.460	0.664	12
3676.712	3676.36	H I	H22	0.476	0.686	12
3679.639	3679.35	H I	H21	0.550	0.791	10
3683.145	3682.81	H I	H20	0.509	0.732	12
3687.112	3686.83	H I	H19	0.530	0.760	12
3691.901	3691.55	H I	H18	0.596	0.854	10
3697.557	3697.15	H I	H17	0.740	1.06	10
3703.087	3702.75	O III	14	0.428	0.611	12
3704.220	3703.85	H I	H16	0.799	1.14	8
3705.333	3705.02	He I	25	0.455	0.649	12
3707.564	3707.27	O III	14	0.310	0.442	15
3712.369	3711.97	H I	H15	0.964	1.37	8
3722.223	3721.94	H I	H14	1.63	2.31	6
...	3721.94	[S III]	F2	...	...	...
3726.536	3726.03	[O II]	F1	10.67	15.10	2.5
3729.284	3728.82	[O II]	F1	5.63	7.96	4

Table 2—Continued

λ	λ_0	Ion	Id.	F^a	I^b	err(%)
3734.726	3734.37	H I	H13	1.63	2.30	6
3750.522	3750.15	H I	H12	2.13	3.00	5
3755.083	3754.69	O III	2	0.630	0.883	10
3757.535	3757.24	O III	2	0.254	0.356	15
3760.253	3759.87	O III	2	1.00	1.40	8
3771.005	3770.63	H I	H11	2.62	3.66	5
3774.287	3774.02	O III	2	0.225	0.313	15
3791.646	3791.27	O III	2	0.204	0.283	15
3798.271	3797.8	[S III]	F2	3.66	5.06	4
...	3797.92	H I	H10	...	...	...
3820.013	3819.62	He I	22	0.741	1.02	8
3835.761	3835.39	H I	H9	5.05	6.90	3
3869.148	3868.75	[Ne III]	F1	90.5	122.4	1.2
3889.230	3888.65	He I	2	16.4	22.0	2.0
...	3889.05	H I	H8	...	...	...
3965.136	3964.73	He I	5	0.427	0.561	10
3967.822	3967.46	[Ne III]	F1	24.5	32.2	1.5
3970.464	3970.07	H I	H7	11.94	15.69	2.0
4009.594	4009.26	He I	55	0.156	0.203	15
4026.593	4025.61	He II	4.13	1.79	2.32	5
...	4026.21	He I	21	...	...	...
4069.169	4068.60	[S II]	F1	0.690	0.884	8
4070.325	4069.62	O II	10	0.151	0.194	15
...	4069.89	O II	10	...	...	...
4072.560	4072.16	O II	10	0.100	0.128	20
4076.832	4076.35	[S II]	F1	0.261	0.333	12
4089.587	4089.29	O II	48a	0.086	0.110	20
4097.728	4097.25	O II	20	0.729	0.927	8
...	4097.26	O II	48b	...	...	...
...	4097.33	N III	1	...	...	...
4100.481	4100.05	He II	4.12	0.166	0.211	15

Table 2—Continued

λ	λ_0	Ion	Id.	F^a	I^b	err(%)
4102.138	4101.74	H I	H6	20.6	26.1	1.5
4103.736	4103.39	N III	1	0.500	0.635	10
4121.221	4120.84	He I	16	0.215	0.272	15
4144.142	4143.76	He I	53	0.263	0.331	12
4169.542	4168.97	He I	52	0.032	0.040	35
...	4169.22	O II	19	...	...	...
4200.297	4199.83	He II	4.11	0.203	0.251	15
4227.960	4227.20	[Fe V]	F2	0.198	0.243	15
...	4227.74	N II	33	...	...	...
4267.657	4267.15	C II	6	0.076	0.092	20
4339.163	4338.67	He II	4.10	0.260	0.310	12
4340.888	4340.47	H I	H5	39.6	47.2	1.2
4352.061	4351.81	[Fe II]		0.088	0.104	20
4363.640	4363.21	[O III]	F2	13.19	15.57	2.0
4388.373	4387.93	He I	51	0.477	0.558	10
4393.240	4392.68	S II?		0.092	0.107	20
4434.975	4434.68	S II?		0.078	0.090	20
4438.168	4437.55	He I	50	0.080	0.092	20
4471.948	4471.50	He I	14	4.01	4.56	3
4477.648	4477.47	C I?		0.091	0.103	20
4542.063	4541.59	He II	4.9	0.257	0.285	12
4571.694	4571.10	Mg I]	1	0.048	0.053	25
4610.683	4610.20	O II	92e	0.127	0.138	15
4634.592	4634.14	N III	2	0.288	0.310	12
4639.387	4638.86	O II	1	0.066	0.071	25
4641.073	4640.64	N III	2	0.576	0.618	8
4642.311	4641.81	O II	1	0.187	0.201	15
...	4641.85	N III	2	...	...	...
4647.945	4647.42	C III	1	0.049	0.052	25
4649.767	4649.13	O II	1	0.201	0.215	15
4651.369	4650.84	O II	1	0.095	0.102	20

Table 2—Continued

λ	λ_0	Ion	Id.	F^a	I^b	err(%)
4656.845	4656.39	Ne I		0.068	0.073	25
4658.771	4658.10	[Fe III]	F3	0.118	0.126	20
4662.025	4661.63	O II	1	0.114	0.122	20
4676.756	4676.24	O II	1	0.055	0.058	25
4686.204	4685.69	He II	3.4	8.23	8.70	2.5
4703.902	4703.18	O II		0.068	0.072	25
...	4703.37	Ar II		...	...	...
4711.827	4711.37	[Ar IV]	F1	3.54	3.71	4
4713.642	4713.17	He I	12	0.597	0.626	8
4740.670	4740.17	[Ar IV]	F1	3.19	3.31	4
4751.751	4751.34	O II		0.126	0.131	20
4800.881	4800.11	Ne I?		0.110	0.112	20
4859.816	4859.32	He II	4.8	0.524	0.524	10
4861.803	4861.33	H I	H4	100.0	100.0	1.0
4922.426	4921.93	He I	48	1.23	1.21	6
4931.795	4931.32	[O III]	F1	0.160	0.157	15
4959.417	4958.91	[O III]	F1	503.5	489.4	0.8
5007.348	5006.84	[O III]	F1	1527.	1462.	0.8
5016.178	5015.68	He I	4	1.56	1.49	6
5412.075	5411.52	He II	4.7	0.879	0.748	8
5518.285	5517.71	[Cl III]	F1	0.488	0.404	10
5538.410	5537.88	[Cl III]	F1	0.461	0.379	10
5755.426	5754.64	[N II]	F3	0.368	0.284	12
5876.242	5875.67	He I	11	18.43	13.74	2.0
6102.272	6101.83	[K IV]	F1	0.278	0.197	15
6171.244	6170.70	He II	5.18	0.079	0.055	25
6301.241	6300.30	[O I]	F1	2.19	1.49	5
6312.256	6312.10 ^c	[S III]	F3	0.498	0.338	12
6312.892	6312.10 ^d	[S III]	F3	1.20	0.814	7
6364.728	6363.78	[O I]	F1	0.865	0.580	8
6394.571	6393.6	[Mn V]		0.088	0.059	25

Table 2—Continued

λ	λ_0	Ion	Id.	F^a	I^b	err(%)
6549.006	6548.03	[N II]	F1	5.35	3.46	4
6560.754	6560.09	He II	4.6	2.22	1.44	6
6563.442	6562.82	H I	H3	456.3	294.6	0.8
6584.383	6583.41	[N II]	F1	17.20	11.07	2.0
6678.811	6678.15	He I	46	5.95	3.76	4
6683.931	6683.21	He II	5.13	0.101	0.064	25
6716.627	6716.47 ^c	[S II]	F2	0.244	0.154	15
6717.458	6716.47 ^d	[S II]	F2	1.70	1.07	6
6731.054	6730.85 ^c	[S II]	F2	0.374	0.235	12
6731.832	6730.85 ^d	[S II]	F2	3.02	1.90	5
6795.621	6795.00	[K IV]	F1	0.049	0.031	35
6891.566	6890.91	He II	5.12	0.162	0.099	20
7006.347	7005.67	[Ar V]	1	0.059	0.036	30
7065.946	7065.25	He I	10	6.62	3.94	4
7136.507	7135.80	[Ar III]	F1	13.2	7.78	2.5
7171.387	7170.62	[Ar IV]	F2	0.207	0.121	20
7178.403	7177.53	He II	5.11	0.145	0.085	20
7263.682	7262.76	[Ar IV]		0.231	0.134	20
7282.072	7281.35	He I	45	1.10	0.631	8
7320.111	7318.92	[O II]	F2	1.02	0.583	8
7321.127	7319.99	[O II]	F2	2.44	1.40	6
7330.702	7329.67	[O II]	F2	1.56	0.894	7
7331.798	7330.73	[O II]	F2	1.32	0.758	8
7500.581	7499.82	He I	1/8 ^e	0.062	0.034	35
7531.158	7530.54	[Cl IV]	F1	0.749	0.417	10
7593.443	7592.75	He II	5.10	0.220	0.122	20
7752.044	7751.12	[Ar III]	F1	2.68	1.45	6
7816.802	7816.16	He I	69	0.084	0.045	30
8046.477	8045.63	[Cl IV]	F1	1.70	0.888	8
8237.562	8236.78	He II	5.9	0.376	0.193	15
8242.450	8241.88	H I	P44	0.080	0.041	35

Table 2—Continued

λ	λ_0	Ion	Id.	F^a	I^b	err(%)
8244.926	8243.69	H I	P43	0.071	0.036	35
8246.521	8245.64	H I	P42	0.064	0.033	40
8248.598	8247.73	H I	P41	0.084	0.043	35
8250.814	8249.97	H I	P40	0.072	0.037	35
8255.765	8255.02	H I	P38	0.092	0.047	30
8258.704	8257.86	H I	P37	0.098	0.050	30
8261.967	8260.94	H I	P36	0.099	0.051	30
8265.401	8264.29	H I	P35	0.146	0.075	25
8268.943	8267.94	H I	P34	0.131	0.067	25
8272.899	8271.93	H I	P33	0.154	0.079	25
8293.271	8292.31	H I	P29	0.164	0.084	25
8307.046	8306.22	H I	P27	0.228	0.116	20
8315.059	8314.26	H I	P26	0.244	0.124	20
8324.160	8323.43	H I	P25	0.271	0.138	20
8334.647	8333.78	H I	P24	0.238	0.120	20
8359.835	8359.01	H I	P22	0.383	0.193	20
8362.765	8361.77	He I	68	0.176	0.089	25
8375.278	8374.48	H I	P21	0.356	0.180	20
8393.186	8392.40	H I	P20	0.374	0.188	20
8414.157	8413.32	H I	P19	0.503	0.253	15
8438.771	8437.96	H I	P18	0.590	0.296	15
8446.696	8446.11	[Fe II]	29	0.088	0.044	35
8468.078	8467.26	H I	P17	0.647	0.323	15
8503.297	8502.49	H I	P16	0.826	0.410	12
8665.816	8665.02	H I	P13	1.59	0.775	10
8734.317	8733.43	He I	6/12 ^e	0.060	0.029	40
8751.323	8750.48	H I	P12	2.04	0.986	10
8846.246	8845.38	He I	6/11 ^e	0.137	0.066	30
8863.646	8862.79	H I	P11	2.77	1.32	8
8997.868	8996.99	He I	6/10 ^e	0.208	0.098	30
9015.747	9014.91	H I	P10	3.63	1.71	8

Table 2—Continued

λ	λ_0	Ion	Id.	F^a	I^b	err(%)
9069.106	9068.9 ^c	[S III]	F1	6.78	3.17	6
9070.260	9068.9 ^d	[S III]	F1	12.1	5.66	4
9211.235	9210.28	He I	83	0.224	0.103	30
9229.907	9229.02	H I	P9	5.48	2.52	7
9526.977	9526.17	He I	6/8 ^e	0.334	0.150	30
9546.994	9545.98	H I	P8	6.02	2.69	7
9560.951	9560.3	[Fe V]		0.129	0.058	40
10028.61	10027.7	He I	6/7 ^e	0.365	0.157	35
10050.36	10049.4	H I	P7	9.27	3.98	7

^aWhere F is the observed flux in units of $100.00 = 1.184 \times 10^{-12} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$.

^bWhere I is the reddening corrected flux observed flux, with $C(\text{H}\beta) = 0.59$ dex, in units of $100.00 = 4.606 \times 10^{-12} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$.

^cBlue component.

^dRed component.

^eSee Péquignot & Baluteau (1988).

Table 3. Temperatures and Densities

Lines	T_e (K)	Lines	$N_e(\text{cm}^{-3})$
[S II]	11230 ± 1100	[S II]	5450^{+2650}_{-1550}
[N II]	11180 ± 750 ^a	[O II]	2120 ± 240
[O II]	15300 ± 3000 ^b	[Cl III]	2040^{+1350}_{-1050}
[S III]	11020 ± 360	[Ar IV]	2920 ± 750
[O III]	11800 ± 100		
$T(\text{Bac})$	10700 ± 2000		

^aCorrected for recombination contribution to the λ 5755 auroral line, see text.

^aCorrected for recombination contribution to the $\lambda\lambda$ 7319, 7320, 7331, and 7332 auroral lines, see text.

Table 4. He⁺ Ionic Abundance

Line	He ⁺ /H ⁺ ^a
3188	7788±623
3889	9389±376
4026	8990±477
4471	8692±261
4922	8965±538
5876	9154±183
6678	9391±376
7065	9090±364
Adopted	9055±151 ^b

^aGiven in units of 10^{-5} , for $N_e = 2500$, $\tau_{3889} = 0.93$, and $t^2 = 0.031$. Only the line intensity errors are presented.

^bIn addition to the line intensity errors it also includes the effects of the uncertainties in $N_e = 2500 \pm 500$, $\tau_{3889} = 0.93 \pm 0.57$, and $t^2 = 0.031 \pm 0.014$.

Table 5. He, C, and O Ionic Abundances from Recombination Lines^a

Ion	NGC 5307
He ⁺ /H ⁺	10.957±0.007
He ⁺⁺ /H ⁺	9.86±0.01
C ⁺⁺ /H ⁺	7.95±0.08
O ⁺⁺ /H ⁺	8.77±0.03
C ⁺³ /H ⁺	7.46±0.10

^aIn units of 12+log(X^m/H^+).

Table 6. Ionic Abundances from Collisionally Excited Lines^a

Ion	$t^2 = 0.00$	$t^2 = 0.056$
N ⁺	6.24±0.05	6.39±0.05
O ⁺	6.98±0.08	7.15±0.08
O ⁺⁺	8.48±0.01	8.77±0.03
Ne ⁺⁺	7.86±0.03	8.18±0.04
S ⁺	5.03±0.05	5.18±0.05
S ⁺⁺	6.20±0.03	6.42±0.04
Cl ⁺⁺	4.51±0.04	4.79±0.05
Cl ⁺³	4.72±0.03	4.96±0.04
Ar ⁺⁺	5.62±0.02	5.86±0.03
Ar ⁺³	5.61±0.03	5.91±0.04
Ar ⁺⁴	3.53±0.14	3.78±0.14
K ⁺³	4.13±0.12	4.40±0.12

^aIn units of $12+\log(X^m/H^+)$.

Table 7. NGC 5307 Abundance Determinations^a

Element	This paper $t^2 = 0.000$	This paper $t^2 = 0.056$	TPP ^b $t^2 = 0.035$	FP ^c $t^2 = 0.000$	KB ^d $t^2 = 0.000$	Me ^e $t^2 = 0.000$
He	11.00	10.99	11.01	10.94	11.02	10.96
C	8.09	8.09			8.21	8.43
N	8.04	8.33	7.74:	8.01:	> 8.56 :	8.16:
O	8.51	8.80	8.64	8.83	8.63	8.55
Ne	7.89	8.21	8.00		7.89	7.76
S	7.02	7.24		6.98	6.47	6.81
Cl	5.00	5.22				
Ar	5.93	6.20			> 5.68	6.14

^aIn units of $12 + \log N(X)/N(H)$, gaseous content only. The C abundances derived in this paper are based on recombination lines, all the other heavy element abundances on collisionally excited lines.

^bTorres-Peimbert & Peimbert (1977).

^cde Freitas Pacheco et al. (1992).

^dKingsburgh & Barlow (1994).

^eMal'kov (1998).

Table 8. O II Line Intensity Ratios^a

Object	$N_e(\text{Cl III})$ (cm^{-3})	$3p\ ^4D_{7/2}^0$ (^b)	$3p\ ^4D_{5/2}^0$ (^c)	$3p\ ^4D_{3/2}^0$ (^d)	$3p\ ^4D_{1/2}^0$ (^e)	$T_e(\text{O III})$ (K)	Source
NGC 604	100^{+100}_{-50}	0.134	0.218	0.392	0.255	8150	Esteban et al. (2002)
30 Doradus	295 ± 30	0.158	0.254	0.372	0.216	10200	Peimbert (2003)
30 Doradus	480 ± 100	0.171	0.288	0.336	0.204	10100	Tsamis et al. (2003)
M17	1050^{+400}_{-400}	0.204	0.319	0.324	0.153	8200	Tsamis et al. (2003)
M1-42	1690^{+600}_{-450}	0.327	0.294	0.246	0.124	9220	Liu et al. (2001)
NGC 5307	2040^{+1350}_{-1050}	0.291	0.274	0.272	0.163	11800	This paper
M8	2400^{+1100}_{-850}	0.237	0.263	0.317	0.183	8050	Esteban et al. (1999b)
NGC 3576	2700 ± 1300	0.240	0.250	0.241	0.269	8850	Tsamis et al. (2003)
NGC 7009	3000 ± 900	0.333	0.305	0.218	0.144	10500	Hyung & Aller (1995)
NGC 6153	3830 ± 800	0.345	0.292	0.259	0.104	9110	Liu et al. (2000)
M2-36	4830 ± 1000	0.344	0.287	0.254	0.115	8380	Liu et al. (2001)
NGC 6543	5000 ± 1000	0.332	0.289	0.230	0.149	8150	Hyung et al. (2000)
Orion 1	5110 ± 800	0.337	0.291	0.251	0.121	8300	Esteban et al. (1998)
Orion 2	6170 ± 900	0.329	0.273	0.244	0.154	8350	Esteban et al. (1998)
NGC 6572	20000 ± 4000	0.359	0.319	0.232	0.090	10900	Hyung et al. (1994a)
NGC 5315	50000^{+50000}_{-25000}	0.390	0.302	0.214	0.093	8850	Peimbert et al. (2003)
IC 4997	$\sim 10^6$	0.352	0.282	0.216	0.150	13000	Hyung et al. (1994b)
limit ^f	∞	0.397	0.300	0.202	0.101	...	Wiese et al. (1996)

^aIntensity ratios of lines belonging to multiplet 1, $3s\ ^4P - 3p\ ^4D^0$. The 8 lines of the multiplet are divided in 4 groups according to their upper energy level. The upper energy levels are shown in the column headers.

^b $I(4649)/I(\text{Sum})$.

^c $I(4642 + 4676)/I(\text{Sum})$.

^d $I(4639 + 4662 + 4696)/I(\text{Sum})$.

^e $I(4651 + 4674)/I(\text{Sum})$.

^fHigh density limit, in this case the line intensities are proportional to the Einstein A coefficients.

Table 9. NGC 5307, Orion, and Solar Total Abundances^a

Element	NGC 5307 ^a	Orion ^b	Sun ^c
12 + log He/H	10.99±0.01	10.99±0.01	10.98±0.02
12 + log O/H	8.80±0.03	8.72±0.06	8.71±0.05
log C/O	−0.71±0.09	−0.19±0.08	−0.21±0.10
log N/O	−0.47±0.15	−0.93±0.10	−0.78±0.12
log Ne/O	−0.59±0.10	−0.82±0.12	−0.71±0.09
log S/O	−1.56±0.12	−1.54±0.12	−1.51±0.08
log Cl/O	−3.58±0.12	−3.29±0.13	−3.43±0.08
log Ar/O	−2.60±0.08	−2.23±0.21	−2.31±0.08

^aGaseous abundances, values for $t^2 = 0.056$, obtained in this paper

^bPeimbert (1993); Esteban et al. (1998, 2002), values for $t^2 = 0.024$. The O and C abundances have been increased by 0.08 and 0.10 dex respectively to take into account the fractions of these elements trapped in dust grains.

^cChristensen-Dalsgaard (1998); Grevesse & Sauval (1998); Allende-Prieto et al. (2001, 2002); Holweger (2001).

Fig. 1.— Section of the echelle spectrum including the O II multiplet 1 lines (observed fluxes).

Fig. 2.— Intensity ratio of the O II multiplet 1 line λ 4649 relative to the sum of the intensities of the eight lines of that multiplet versus the local electron density derived from forbidden line ratios. The solid line represents the best fit to the data, see equation (23) and Table 8.



